

REMARKS:

Applicant replies to the Office Action dated April 30, 2010, within three months. Claims 1-8 are pending in the application. Applicant again thanks the Examiner for the indication of allowable subject matter in claims 5-7. Support for the amendments may be found in the originally-filed specification, claims, and figures. No new matter has been introduced by the amendments. Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the interview with Applicant's counsel on July 26, 2010. The Examiner stated that including further clarification that the first turning axis extends through the scribing line forming means and the second turning axis extends through the supporting means, would avoid an external axis reading on the claim limitations. As such, Applicant amends independent claim 1 to include "the first turning axis extends through the scribing line forming means and the second turning axis extends through the supporting means."

The Examiner next rejects claims 1-4 and 8 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Galindez, US Patent No. 4,372,471 ("Galindez"). Applicant respectfully disagrees, but to expedite prosecution, Applicant further amends the claims to further clarify the patentable subject matter. In particular, Applicant amends independent claim 1 to include "the first turning axis extends through the scribing line forming means and the second turning axis extends through the supporting means," as suggested by the Examiner. Applicant asserts that Galindez does not disclose or contemplate at least "a supporting means for supporting the scribing line forming means such that the scribing line forming means is turnable about a first turning axis, the supporting means being structured so as to be turnable about a second turning axis, the second turning axis being different from the first turning axis, wherein . . . the first turning axis extends through the scribing line forming means and the second turning axis extends through the supporting means," as set forth in independent claim 1.

Furthermore, claims 2-4 and 8 depend from independent claim 1. As such, Applicant asserts that claims 2-4 and 8 are differentiated from the cited references for the same reasons as set forth above, in addition to their own novel features.

In view of the above remarks and amendments, Applicant respectfully submits that all pending claims properly set forth that which Applicant regards as its invention and are allowable over the cited references. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests allowance of the pending claims. The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the Examiner's convenience, if


that would help further prosecution of the subject application. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any fees due to Deposit Account No. 19-2814.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: _____

7/26/10

By: _____


Howard I. Sobelman
Reg. No. 39,038

SNELL & WILMER, L.L.P.

One Arizona Center
400 East Van Buren
Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202
Phone: (602) 382-6228
Fax: (602) 382-6070
Email: hsobelman@swlaw.com